

§ 1.5 Independence

Henceforth, unless otherwise stated, whenever a probability variable is considered, it is on a certain probability space (Ω, P) . Two random variables are said to be **independent** when

$$P\{X = x, Y = y\} = P\{X = x\}P\{Y = y\}, \quad x \in \Omega^X, y \in \Omega^Y$$

holds. In this instance,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{X=x}\{Y = y\} &= P\{Y = y\} && \text{(when } P(X = x) > 0), && y \in \Omega^Y, \\ P_{Y=y}\{X = x\} &= P\{X = x\} && \text{(when } P(Y = y) > 0), && x \in \Omega^X. \end{aligned}$$

Or either from these two conditions, the independence between X and Y emerges. These can also be described as, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{X=x}\{Y = y\} &\text{ is unrelated to } x && \text{(when } P(X = x) > 0), \\ P_{Y=y}\{X = x\} &\text{ is unrelated to } y && \text{(when } P(Y = y) > 0). \end{aligned}$$

The terminology independence is rooted in these facts.

Theorem 1.16 The following conditions are mutually equivalent:

- (i) X and Y are independent,
- (ii) Suppose N is the totality of all the values of x such that $P_{X=x}(Y = y) \neq P(Y = y)$. Then

$$P^X(N) = 0,$$

- (iii) $P_X(Y = y) = P(Y = y)$ a.s.

Proof If X and Y are independent, as also noted above, for all $x \in N$,

$$P\{X = x\} = 0.$$

Hence

$$P^X(N) = P\{X \in N\} = \sum_{x \in N} P\{X = x\} = 0.$$

Thus (i) \implies (ii). Now let

$$M = \{\omega | P_{X(\omega)}(Y = y) \neq P\{Y = y\}\}.$$

If $\omega \in M$, then $X(\omega) \in N$. Hence $M \subset X^{-1}(N)$ (in fact = holds). Accordingly from (ii)

$$P(M) \leq P(X^{-1}(N)) = P^X(N) = 0, \quad \text{i.e. } P(M) = 0,$$

which means (iii). Thus (ii) \implies (iii). If (iii) is assumed, using the above M ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(P_{X(\omega)}(Y = y), X^{-1}\{x\}) &= E(P_{X(\omega)}(Y = y), X^{-1}\{x\} \setminus M), \\ E(P(Y = y), X^{-1}\{x\}) &= E(P(Y = y), X^{-1}\{x\} \setminus M). \end{aligned}$$

Since on $X^{-1}\{x\} \setminus M$, $P_{X(\omega)}(Y = y)$ and $P(Y = y)$ are equal, and

$$E(P_{X(\omega)}(Y = y), X^{-1}\{x\}) = E(P(Y = y), X^{-1}\{x\}).$$

That is, from Theorem 1.13 and Theorem 1.3's (iii),

$$P(X = x, Y = y) = P(Y = y)P(X^{-1}\{x\}) = P(X = x)P(Y = y),$$

and the independence between X and Y appears. Thus (iii) \implies (i). Finally the equivalence of (i), (ii), and (iii) is proven. **■**

Theorem 1.17 If X and Y are independent,

$$P(X \in E, Y \in F) = P(X \in E)P(Y \in F).$$

Proof Since $\{\omega | X(\omega) \in E, Y(\omega) \in F\} = \sum_{x \in E} \sum_{y \in F} \{\omega | X(\omega) = x, Y(\omega) = y\}$,

$$P(X \in E, Y \in F) = \sum_{x \in E} \sum_{y \in F} P\{X = x\}P\{Y = y\} = P(E)P(F). \quad \mathbf{■}$$

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent is about the validity of

$$P\{X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n\} = P\{X_1 = x_1\}P\{X_2 = x_2\} \cdots P\{X_n = x_n\}, \quad x_i \in \Omega_i^{X_i}.$$

In this instance, even if the order of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is exchanged, it is still independent. From the above equation, with respect to $x_n \in \Omega^{X_n}$, one obtains

$$P\{X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\} = P\{X_1 = x_1\}P\{X_2 = x_2\} \cdots P\{X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\}.$$

Hence, for a system of independent probability variables, its subsystem is also independent.

Theorem 1.18 Separate $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ into two subsystems

$$\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{X_{r+1}, X_{r+2}, \dots, X_n\}.$$

For X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n to be independent, it is necessary and sufficient for the following two conditions to hold.

(i) Every subsystem is independent, i.e., X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r is independent, and $X_{r+1}, X_{r+2}, \dots, X_n$ is independent,

(ii) Combined variables $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r), (X_{r+1}, X_{r+2}, \dots, X_n)$ are independent.

proof It is easy to prove if the following points are noted:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_r = x_r, X_{r+1} = x_{r+1}, \dots, X_n = x_n \\ \iff (X_1, \dots, X_r) = (x_1, \dots, x_r), (X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n) = (x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.19 If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent, then

$$P\{X_1 \in E_1, X_2 \in E_2, \dots, X_n \in E_n\} = P\{X_1 \in E_1\} P\{X_2 \in E_2\} \cdots P\{X_n \in E_n\}.$$

Proof Theorem 1.17 is a special case of $n = 2$ of this theorem. From the previous theorem, $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})$ is independent of X_n , and from Theorem 1.17,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.h.s} &= P\{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) \in E_1 \times E_2 \times \cdots \times E_{n-1}, X_n \in E_n\} \\ &= P\{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) \in E_1 \times E_2 \times \cdots \times E_{n-1}\} P\{X_n \in E_n\} \\ &= P\{X_1 \in E_1, X_2 \in E_2, \dots, X_{n-1} \in E_{n-1}\} P\{X_n \in E_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Repeat this argument, it is understood that in the end it equals the right hand side of the theorem's equation. \blacksquare

In the above theorem,

$$P^{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}(E_1 \times E_2 \times \cdots \times E_n) = P^{X_1}(E_1) P^{X_2}(E_2) \cdots P^{X_n}(E_n),,$$

that is, it means

$$P^{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)} = P^{X_1} \times P^{X_2} \times \cdots \times P^{X_n} \quad (\text{direct product measure}).$$

Theorem 1.20 If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent, and $Y_1 = \varphi_1(X_1), Y_2 = \varphi_2(X_2), \dots, Y_n = \varphi_n(X_n)$, then Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n are also independent.

Proof Note the following and apply the previous theorem:

$$Y_1 = y_1, Y_2 = y_2, \dots, Y_n = y_n \iff X_1 \in \varphi_1^{-1}(y_1), X_2 \in \varphi_2^{-1}(y_2), \dots, X_n \in \varphi_n^{-1}(y_n). \quad \blacksquare$$

The sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are **independent** is about the independence of their indicator functions $1_{A_1}(\omega), 1_{A_2}(\omega), \dots$. Since sets represent events, it is alright to think of them as the independence of events.

Theorem 1.21 The following three conditions are equivalent.

- (i) A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are independent,
- (ii) When $A'_i = A_i$ or A_i^c , then

$$P(A'_1 \cap A'_2 \cap \dots \cap A'_n) = P(A'_1) P(A'_2) \dots P(A'_n)$$

always holds,

- (iii) For any $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$, and any $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$,

$$P(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}) = P(A_{i_1}) P(A_{i_2}) \dots P(A_{i_k}).$$

Proof Suppose $e_i(\omega)$ is the indicator function of A_i . (i) means that with respect to $a_i = 0, 1 (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$

$$P(e_1^{-1}(a_1) \cap e_2^{-1}(a_2) \cap \dots \cap e_n^{-1}(a_n)) = P(e_1^{-1}(a_1)) P(e_2^{-1}(a_2)) \dots P(e_n^{-1}(a_n))$$

holds. Because in Accordance to $a_i = 1, 0$, $e_i^{-1}(a_i) = A_i, A_i^c$, the above equation is only a rewrite of (ii). Hence, (i) and (ii) are equivalent. If (i) holds, any subsystem $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_k}$ of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n is also independent. It follows that from the already proven (i) \iff (ii), the equation of (iii) is obtained. Thus (i) \implies (iii) is proven. What remains to prove is (iii) \implies (ii). From the equation of (iii)

$$E(e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_k}) = E(e_{i_1}) E(e_{i_2}) \dots E(e_{i_k}).$$

Now the expansion of $(a_1 + b_1 t_1)(a_2 + b_2 t_2) \dots (a_n + b_n t_n)$ is denoted by

$$\sum_{k, \{i_v\}} c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \dots t_{i_k}.$$

Since

$$E(a_1 + b_1 e_1)(a_2 + b_2 e_2) \cdots (a_n + b_n e_n) = \sum_{k, \{i_v\}} c_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k} E(e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}),$$

$$E(a_1 + b_1 e_1) E(a_2 + b_2 e_2) \cdots E(a_n + b_n e_n) = \sum_{k, \{i_v\}} c_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k} E(e_{i_1}) E(e_{i_2}) \cdots E(e_{i_k}),$$

From the equation discussed above, it equals to the right hand side. Hence,

$$E(a_1 + b_1 e_1)(a_2 + b_2 e_2) \cdots (a_n + b_n e_n) = E(a_1 + b_1 e_1) E(a_2 + b_2 e_2) \cdots E(a_n + b_n e_n).$$

As $A'_i = A_i$ or A_i^c , let its indicator function be e'_i . Then

$$e'_i = e_i, \quad \text{or} \quad 1 - e_i.$$

Accordingly, e'_i takes the form of $a_i + b_i e_i$. Hence

$$E(e'_1 e'_2 \cdots e'_n) = E(e'_1) E(e'_2) \cdots E(e'_n),$$

that is

$$P(A'_1 \cap A'_2 \cap \cdots \cap A'_n) = P(A'_1) P(A'_2) \cdots P(A'_n).$$

As a result, (iii) \implies (ii) is proven. \blacksquare

Suppose \mathbf{T} is the direct union of $\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{T}_n$, and their probability spaces are, respectively,

$$(\Omega_1, P_1), (\Omega_2, P_2), \cdots, (\Omega_n, P_n), (\Omega, P).$$

Then

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n, \quad P = P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_n.$$

When the result of \mathbf{T}_i is ω_i ($i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$), the result ω of \mathbf{T} is given by $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n)$, and considering ω_i on (Ω, P) ,

$$\omega_i = \pi_i(\omega) \quad (\pi_i \text{ is } i\text{-th image})$$

Accordingly, the probability variable $X_i(\omega_i)$ is on (Ω_i, P_i)

$$X_i(\omega_i) = X_i(\pi_i(\omega)) = (X_i \circ \pi)(\omega)$$

is on (Ω, P) .

Theorem 1.22 On (Ω, P) , $\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n$ are independent. Hence $X_1(\omega_1), X_2(\omega_2), \cdots, X_n(\omega_n)$ are also

independent.

Proof Since $\omega_i = \pi_i(\omega)$,

$$P\{\pi_1(\omega) = a_1, \pi_2(\omega) = a_2, \dots, \pi_n(\omega) = a_n\} = P\{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)\} = P_1\{a_1\}P_2\{a_2\} \cdots P_n\{a_n\},$$

$$P\{\pi_1(\omega) = a_1\} = P(\{a_1\} \times \Omega_2 \times \Omega_3 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n) = P_1\{a_1\}P_2(\Omega_2)P_3(\Omega_3) \cdots P_n(\Omega_n) = P_1\{a_1\}.$$

In the same way,

$$P\{\pi_i(\omega) = a_i\} = P_i\{a_i\},$$

hence

$$P\{\pi_1(\omega) = a_1, \pi_2(\omega) = a_2, \dots, \pi_n(\omega) = a_n\} = P\{\pi_1(\omega) = a_1\} P\{\pi_2(\omega) = a_2\} \cdots P\{\pi_n(\omega) = a_n\}.$$

It means the independence of $\omega_1 = \pi_1(\omega), \pi_2(\omega), \dots, \pi_n(\omega)$. Accordingly, from Theorem 1.20, $X_1(\omega_1), X_2(\omega_2), \dots, X_n(\Omega_n)$ are also independent. ■

Exercise 1.5 (i) Show that the number 2 and number 3 of a rolled dice are independent. (Apply Theorem 1.21 (i) \iff (iii))

(ii) A dice is rolled $m + n$ times. Using Theorems 1.22, 1.18, and 1.20, prove that the sum of the first m points and the product of the subsequent n points.

(iii) Prove that if X_1 and X_2 are independent. (X_1, X_2) and X_3 are independent, (X_1, X_2, X_3) and X_4 are independent, then X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 are independent. Show also that the converse is true.

(iv) If A is independent of itself, show that $P(A) = 0$ or 1 . (Note that $A \cap A = A$).

(v) If X is independent of itself, show that almost surely, it is equal to a constant.

[Hint] For any $a \in \Omega^X$, note that $P\{X = a\}$ is equal to 1 or 0 .